UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,228	06/11/2007	Marcel Adriaan Jansen	ACH-3018	2145
56744 Albemarle Neth	7590 06/24/200 nerlands B.V.	EXAMINER		
Patent and Trad 451 Florida Stre	lemark Department	LIAO, DIANA J		
Baton Rouge, LA 70801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C ,			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/574,228	JANSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DIANA J. LIAO	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-19 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the oregin and possible and poss	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-15 in the reply filed on 3/10/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a lack of unity was not properly demonstrated. This is not found persuasive because as previously discussed, the common technical feature was the process of activating the catalyst. These steps were found to be taught in the prior art, and thus a lack of unity exists. Whether or not a lack of unity is determined at the time of the PCT examination does not hold bearing upon examination at the US stage.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/10/2009.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on other multiple dependent claims and multiple dependency may only be done in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weissman, et al. (US 5,389,592).

Weissman '592 teaches a method for enhancing regenerated hydroprocessing catalysts. The active metals for these types of catalysts include those of Groups VIB and VIII, and most commonly Ni, Co, Mo, and W. (col 2, line 2-10) The process utilizes a boron containing compound as well as solvents, such as alcohols (equivalent to claimed organic additive). (claim 1) Alcohols are typically miscible in water and thus show great solubility. Alcohols have varying boiling points, including those of between 80-500°C. For example, isopropyl alcohol has a boiling point of 82.3°C. The boron containing compound may be boric acid (claim 6), thus teaching contacting the catalyst with an acid.

Art Unit: 1793

The crystalline fraction of the catalyst is not discussed in Weissman '592.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform reactivation strategies to any suitable catalysts, including either or both of crystalline or amorphous type catalysts.

Therefore, claims 1-3 are not found patentable over the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANA J. LIAO whose telephone number is (571)270-3592. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00am to 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

DJL